

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,896	04/27/2001	Masaharu Hayashi	0425-0836P	7584
	7590 08/13/200 ART KOLASCH & BI		EXAM	INER
PO BOX 747			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1616		
	·			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/842,896	HAYASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alton N. Pryor	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	DIVIS SET TO EXPIDE 2 M	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAVS			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the provision of the mail of the provision of the mail of the provision of the mail of the provision o	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	<i>May 2007</i> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) Claim(s) 4,6-8,10,12 and 14-29 is/are pendir	ng in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) <u>10,16,18 and 22</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 4,6-8,12,14,15,17,19-21 and 23-29	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
. 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority docume 					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie		t received			
See the attached detailed Office action for a m	st of the certified copies no	·			
Attachment(s)	Λ 🗆	Summany (PTO 412)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTÖ-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 09/842,896

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant's arguments, see paper, filed 5/25/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) under 35 USC 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4,6-8,12,14,15,17,19-21 will not remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim et al (USPN 5674897; 10/7/97). Kim teaches a composition comprising 10 – 20,000 ppm C8 to C14 fatty acid esters plus a surfactant plus water (nutrient). Kim teaches a method of applying the composition to plants to control nematodes. Kim does not state that invention activates or promotes plant growth. See abstract, column 4 lines 1-63, column 8 lines 58-65, and claims 1-4. However, it is obvious that if the composition is applied to the plant that it would activate plant growth (promote permeation) since active step in the prior art and instant claims is the same i.e., both disclose a method of applying the composition to plants.

Response to Applicants' Argument

Applicants have amended claims to remove the C14 fatty acid ester. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,896

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4,6-8,12,14,15,17,19-21 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Kim et al (USPN 5674897). New claims 23 and 24 are added to this rejection.

Kim teaches a composition comprising 10 – 20,000 ppm C14 fatty acid esters plus a surfactant plus water (nutrient). Kim teaches a method of applying the composition to plants to control nematodes. Kim does not teach the invention comprising C15-C19 fatty acid esters as claimed. However, in view of Kim it would have been obvious at the time of Kim's invention to try a C15 fatty acid ester. One would have been motivated to do this because C14 and C15 fatty acid esters are homologs only differing by one carbon atom. Thus, compounds as such would have been expected to possess similar chemical and physical properties and therefore, when applied in the same utility would have been expected to yield a very similar result. Kim does not teach an invention where an ester-containing nonionic surfactant is employed as instantly claimed. However, it would have been obvious to try ester-containing nonionic surfactant in the instant invention since Applicant provides no unexpected results for the use of their ester-containing nonionic surfactant over the use of Kim's

Art Unit: 1616

nonylphenylether surfactant. Kim does not state that the invention activates or promotes plant growth. See abstract, column 4 lines 1-63, column 8 lines 58-65, and claims 1-4. However, it is obvious that if the composition is applied to the plant that it would activate plant growth (promote permeation) since active step in the prior art and instant claims is the same, i.e. both disclose a method of applying the composition to plants.

Claims 25-29 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Kim et al above. Kim teaches a composition comprising 10 – 20,000 ppm C8-14 fatty acid esters plus a surfactant plus water (nutrient). Kim teaches a method of applying (pipetting) the composition to plants to control nematodes. Kim does not teach an invention where an ester-containing nonionic surfactant is employed as instantly claimed. However, it would have been obvious to try ester-containing nonionic surfactant in the instant invention since Applicant provides no unexpected results for the use of their ester-containing nonionic surfactant over the use of Kim's nonylphenylether surfactant. Kim does not teach a method involving the spraying of the composition onto plants as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to try just above any application in the absence of unexpected results for spraying especially as compared to pipetting. Kim does not state that the invention activates or promotes plant growth. See abstract, column 4 lines 1-63, column 8 lines 58-65, and claims 1-4. However, it is obvious that if the composition is applied to the plant that it would activate plant growth (promote permeation) since active step in the prior art and instant claims is the same, i.e. both disclose a method of applying the composition to plants.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/842,896

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 10,16,18,22 are allowable. The prior art provides unexpected results for the instant plant activating agent plus fertilizer.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,896 Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616